

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan – Preferred Options Draft

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

The City of York Council as a unitary authority is also a waste and minerals planning authority and to satisfy the provisions of national planning policy it must develop the necessary policies for minerals and waste. City of York is currently preparing a Local Plan with strategic policies on minerals and waste and a separate joint minerals and waste development plan document with North Yorkshire County Council and the North York Moors National Park Authority. This is known as the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan. The Joint Plan involves identifying all waste arising in the area from all sources, such as, household, commercial, hazardous and agricultural, and demonstrating how this is dealt with spatially. With regard to minerals it is necessary to identify the requirement for minerals including aggregates and how these will be sourced. The Preferred Options stage provides an opportunity for the people to view and comment on the draft policies and sites before these representations are assessed and used to inform and help draft the next stage of the Plan process; the Publication draft. The main objective of the policy is for Members to note the progress on the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan and approve the Preferred Options documents for public consultation. This will allow the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan to be progressed.

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Rebecca Harrison – Development Officer

4. Have any impacts been Identified

No

Community of Identity affected:

N/A

Summary of impact:

No impacts have been identified.

5. Date CIA completed: 6 October 2015

6. Signed off by: Rachel Macefield

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name: Rachel Macefield

Position: Forward Planning Team Leader

Date: 6 October 2015

8. Decision-making body:

Executive

Date:

29 October 2015

Decision Details:

Send the completed signed off document to equalities@york.gov.uk. It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title: Minerals and Waste Joint Plan – Preferred Options Draft

What evidence is available **to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect** on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A